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I. Preamble

This Policy Document is being implemented by the School of Professional Studies (SPS) to supplement NYU policies applicable to Continuing Contract Faculty (CCF) as set forth in the Faculty Handbook and in the University Guidelines for Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Appointments, Grievance Procedures, and Disciplinary Regulations. The governing expectation in all the policies that follow is that the faculty will be routinely consulted in keeping with the principles of shared governance and the policies and guidelines of The Faculty Handbook. If any part of this policy document is inconsistent with NYU policies, the NYU policies in effect will supersede. As with all NYU policies, this policy is subject to change. The policies in effect at the time of an action will apply to that action. This policy will be reviewed every five years or sooner as necessary.

II. Introduction

The NYU School of Professional Studies is committed to an education that prepares students to apply and advance knowledge, creativity and innovation in real-world settings. SPS offers a professionally oriented curriculum that inspires the next generation of leaders to innovate, communicate, and succeed in evolving global environments and economies.

Singularly dedicated to this pedagogical mission, CCF members are essential to its fulfillment and vital to SPS. CCF support SPS through their commitment to teaching and pedagogy, their dedication to academic service and administration, and their scholarly, professional and/or creative achievements. Composed of scholars in traditional academic fields, experts in the teaching of languages, practitioners in the arts, and professionals in business, management, and other areas, the CCF form the entire body of the full-time faculty at SPS, with the exception of a small number of tenured and visiting faculty.

III. Rights and Responsibilities of SPS CCF

CCF at all ranks are protected by academic freedom. CCF may serve as Principal Investigators for sponsored research with the support of the leader of their academic unit and the SPS Dean.¹

All CCF are expected to provide service to the academic unit, the School, and/or the University in order to strengthen shared governance and advance SPS’s mission. CCF are expected to meet their professional and institutional commitments at the University on a regular basis throughout the academic year. These commitments include time spent on teaching, research, student advising, and various kinds of University or outside professional service on committees and in administrative or advisory roles.² They should be active participants as committee members, student advisers, or in whatever other capacity they can render the best service in the affairs of their academic unit, SPS and the University.³

A. Teaching

CCF in the Center for Applied Liberal Arts, the Center for Global Affairs, the Division of Applied Undergraduate Studies, the Jonathan M. Tisch Center of Hospitality, the Preston Robert Tisch

¹ Academic unit leader in this document refers to the highest-ranking member of the administration in each division and in the Center for Publishing. For revised policy on CCF serving as PIs, see: https://www.nyu.edu/about/policies-guidelines-compliance/policies-and-guidelines/continuing-contract-faculty-as-principal-investigators-of-sponso.html

² The parameters of research include self-directed research expected of engaged disciplinary experts as well as PI status for approved research projects consistent with the Provost’s policy.

³ https://www.nyu.edu/faculty/governance-policies-and-procedures/faculty-handbook/the-faculty/other-faculty-policies/responsibilities-of-the-faculty-member.html
Institute for Global Sport, the Division of Programs in Business, the Center for Publishing, and the Schack Institute of Real Estate primarily teach in degree programs and hold the titles of clinical assistant professor, clinical associate professor, and clinical professor. It is common for these faculty to have multi-year contracts on a nine-month year and to teach three credit-bearing courses each fall and spring semester.\(^4\)

CCF in the English Language Institute (ELI) primarily teach in non-credit programs and normally have multi-year contracts on an eleven-month year. ELI faculty typically teach 90 contact hours in the summer semester and 180 contact hours in each of the spring and fall semesters. ELI faculty commonly hold the titles of lecturer or senior lecturer. ELI faculty hired prior to 2018 may hold clinical faculty titles if converted to clinical status before September 1, 2020.

No additional compensation by reason of teaching overload may be paid to any CCF member during the period of a regular teaching assignment, except in emergency circumstances duly approved in advance by the Office of the Provost.\(^5\) With the approval of the academic unit leader and SPS Dean, CCF may teach executive education courses (non-credit and/or outside of SPS degree programs). The rate of compensation will be consistent with the terms authorized for executive education.

Teaching responsibilities may also include, but are not limited to:

- Developing, creating, and teaching new courses and developing new curricula
- Engaging in program review and revision, accreditation compliance, and assessment
- Advising and mentoring students
- Supervising independent studies and internships

With the approval of the academic unit leader and the SPS Dean, CCF taking on additional academic responsibilities may qualify for a reduced course load and/or stipend. Academic Director responsibilities, for example, would qualify.

### B. Service and Administration

Service and administration are key components of faculty engagement and all CCF are expected to contribute in these areas. For faculty whose responsibilities are primarily administrative, greater weight will be given to performance in this area in both reappointment and promotion reviews. In providing service to SPS and/or the University, CCF may:

- Participate in administrative components of academic programs (admissions, committees, events)
- Serve on program, curricular, student affairs, or other SPS or University committees including task forces and working groups
- Participate in student recruitment, orientation, retention, and/or alumni activities
- Provide outreach to the community at large as a representative of SPS
- Contribute to policy-making, especially with regard to policies concerning the mission of the School, program, and/or academic unit

---

\(^4\) Faculty in programs offering three-credit courses will teach a total of 9 credits and faculty in programs offering four-credit courses will teach a total 12 credits.

With the approval of the academic unit leader and the SPS Dean, CCF participating in administrative duties on behalf of the program, academic unit, School, or University may qualify for a reduced course load and/or stipend. Academic Coordinator duties, for example, may qualify.

C. Scholarly Work, Creative Production, and Professional Activity
CCF expertise is crucial to teaching excellence in SPS and to the overall profile of its programs. SPS faculty are encouraged to be actively engaged in professional, scholarly, and/or creative work in their fields. Professional engagement includes a range of activities that may strengthen a faculty member’s professional profile, further fields of knowledge, enhance teaching excellence, and/or advance the mission of SPS.

Scholarly, Creative, and Professional activities may include, but are not limited to:

- Providing expert information about practice realities and new developments in the profession
- Producing scholarly and/or creative work in print, film, performance, or digital forms related to particular disciplines or fields of practice
- Contributing to policy-making locally, nationally, and/or globally in one’s field of expertise
- Acting as a bridge to practice and academic communities by attending conferences; participating in professional associations; giving lectures, readings, performances, or presentations; serving on advisory boards or councils; and otherwise informing and/or shaping debates in relevant fields and/or disciplines
- Success in applying for and receiving funding for authorized grant activity
- Engaging in public intellectual activities, such as service to professional, non-profit, or community-based organizations on a local, national, or international level

With the approval of the academic unit leader and the SPS Dean, CCF pursuing scholarly, creative and/or professional activities that serve the program, academic unit, School, or University may qualify for a reduced course load and/or stipend. CCF participating in externally funded research programs, for example, may qualify.

D. Annual Faculty Activity Report (FAR)
All faculty are required to submit an annual report of activities and accomplishments to the leader of the academic unit following the form and process approved by the SPS Dean. Faculty must receive written feedback from their academic unit leader and/or SPS Dean about the report including a statement of whether or not the faculty member has met performance expectations required for reappointment. This statement will identify required areas of improvement as needed.

Faculty Activity Report Timetable:

| Written notification and distribution of the Faculty Activity Report (FAR) template to faculty | By November 1 |
| Faculty submit completed FAR to their academic unit leaders | By February 14 |

4 – 9.1.20
Academic unit leader arranges one-on-one meetings and returns FAR with written comments that note whether expectations have been met or not  By March 31

Faculty return the signed Faculty Evaluation Form to their academic unit leader  By April 14

The academic unit leader submits all FARs and Faculty Evaluation Forms to the SPS Office of Academic and Faculty Affairs (OAFA)  By April 28

IV. Appointment of SPS CCF

A. Search and Hiring

The SPS Dean solicits authorization from the Provost for faculty searches as set forth in annual academic planning documents. The rank(s) of the faculty member(s) to be sought is proposed by the leader of the academic unit, ideally following input from a unit-wide faculty meeting, and approved by the SPS Dean. Unless granted an exemption in the manner set forth in the University’s Recruiting and Hiring Policy, the leader of the academic unit must conduct a search to fill every approved full-time faculty position, whether a newly created or vacant line.\(^6\) SPS hiring practices for CCF shall be transparent and fair and should include CCF in the hiring process.\(^7\) In CCF recruitment processes, the name and title of all members serving on any search committee will be shared electronically with all SPS full-time faculty at the start of every search.

The search for all CCF positions should be managed by posting open positions using NYU’s online hiring system. All searches must include outreach to diverse candidate pools and must be publicized for a minimum of six weeks on the SPS website and in at least one external academic or industry-specific professional publication or website.

For each search process, the leader of the academic unit forms and charges an ad hoc search committee, which should include at least three CCF of whom the majority (if possible) must be from the affected academic unit, plus one ex-officio CCF member from outside of the academic unit. Every effort must be made to ensure that the search committee is diverse and inclusive.\(^8\) Each search committee will establish the desired qualifications and selection criteria appropriate to the position for which the search is being conducted, and the search committee will draw up a shortlist of candidates who meet these requirements. Efforts must be made to ensure diversity of candidates under consideration. Shortlisted candidates will be interviewed, and other means of assessing qualifications may be used, as appropriate, including review of applicants’ publications and teaching performance and a call for references. Shortlisted candidates should also be required to deliver a

---


\(^8\) Academic units with enough CCF should use a rotation system for search committee membership.
presentation that is open to the entire CCF of the academic unit. At the conclusion of the search process, the committee shall present a list of preferred candidates to the leader of the academic unit, who then submits their recommendation to the SPS Dean for approval. Candidates who accept offers of appointment may, with their academic unit leader’s support, seek elevated rank through the SPS Rank, Title, and Privilege (RTP) Committee process (See section V). The RTP Committee submits its recommendation to the SPS Dean for a final decision.

All contracts must include the following terms:

- Start and end dates of the appointment
- Academic responsibilities, including teaching load, benefits, and compensation
- Acknowledgement of release from part of the teaching load due to significant administrative responsibilities, including a list of these significant responsibilities and title, if applicable (As service is a regular and expected aspect of all faculty workloads, all service responsibilities will not be detailed in advance)
- Acknowledgement of release from part of teaching load due to receipt of grants in special instances where the SPS Dean has authorized a reduced teaching load in advance
- Agreement to be bound by applicable University policies
- Contracts should indicate whether appointment is subject to renewal

B. Terms of Appointments
Newly hired SPS CCF typically receive multiple-year contracts of two to three years. Initial appointments at the level of Language Lecturer, Senior Language Lecturer, and Assistant Professor (Clinical) are for two years; initial appointments for Associate Professor (Clinical) and Professor (Clinical) are for three years. These contract lengths allow SPS to recruit and retain high-caliber faculty while also supporting the School’s unique mission to provide innovative programs that not only recognize but also anticipate industry trends and emerging opportunities.

C. Ranks and Titles of Faculty
The definitions of ranks and titles below are intended as a framework for CCF appointments.

It is expected that CCF members are experienced and accomplished instructors, scholars, professionals, and/or artists in their disciplines or fields of practice, holding the highest degree appropriate to their field. Faculty at higher ranks are expected to demonstrate outstanding capabilities in their field and have nationally or internationally recognized achievements.

Visiting Appointments
Visiting appointments are given to individuals, including outstanding practitioners, who are teaching temporarily at SPS. These appointments are made by the SPS Dean and used primarily to address short-term programmatic needs. These appointments are usually for one single academic year but can be renewed for a second year, or, in rare circumstances, for a third year for a maximum of three academic years in total. Visiting appointments cannot be converted to regular CCF appointments, but visiting faculty may apply to any position in an open competitive search.9

Language Lecturer

9 The hiring, reappointment, promotion and grievance policies and procedures covered in this document do not pertain to visiting faculty.
Faculty appointed at this rank should hold a relevant advanced degree, demonstrate evidence of initiative in curricular development and language pedagogy, demonstrate an ability to engage in meaningful service or administrative work, and ideally have at least three years of language teaching experience and evidence of teaching excellence and effectiveness. Faculty at this rank should also demonstrate evidence of, or potential for, achievements in professional activities and research in their disciplines and/or areas of practice.

**Senior Language Lecturer**
Faculty promoted to the rank of Senior Language Lecturer should hold a relevant advanced degree and have at least six years of experience in and evidence of teaching excellence in higher education. Faculty at this rank shall have demonstrated strong contributions in curriculum revision or development, service and/or administrative work, and, where relevant, publications or other forms of scholarly, creative, and professional outputs in their disciplines and/or areas of practice.

**Assistant Professor (Clinical)**
Faculty appointed at the rank of Assistant Professor (Clinical) should hold an advanced degree (terminal in their field or discipline), have a significant industry or academic track record, and have at least three years of teaching experience and evidence of teaching excellence and effectiveness in higher education. Faculty at this rank should provide evidence of initiative in curricular development, demonstrate an ability or potential ability to engage in meaningful service or administrative work, and supply evidence of promise in scholarly or artistic work, and, where relevant, publications.

**Associate Professor (Clinical)**
Faculty appointed or promoted to the rank of Associate Professor (Clinical) should hold an advanced degree (terminal in their field or discipline), have a significant industry or academic track record, and should have at least six years of experience in and evidence of teaching excellence and effectiveness in higher education. Faculty at this rank shall have demonstrated strong contributions in curriculum revision or development, service and/or administration, and in their field of study or practice.

**Professor (Clinical)**
Faculty appointed or promoted to the rank of Professor (Clinical) should hold an advanced degree (terminal in their field or discipline), have a significant industry or academic track record, have at least six years of experience at the rank of Associate Professor (Clinical), and should provide evidence of teaching excellence and effectiveness in higher education. Faculty at this rank shall have demonstrated extraordinary contributions in curriculum revision or development, service and administration, in industry-relevant or artistic production, in academic and/or applied research, and in professional leadership. Clinical professors maintain national and international reputations in relevant areas.

**D. Voluntary Termination and Contract Stoppage**
As required by the NYU Faculty Handbook, there are grounds for stopping or pausing the contract clock. Reasonable causes can include medical; personal; role as primary caregiver for child, spouse, parent, same-sex domestic partner; or by contractual stipulation or negotiation.

CCF members in the middle of a contract of two or more years may opt out of their contract provided they complete the current academic semester.
E. Special Academic Leave for Full-time Faculty, Professional Development Funds and Summer Session Teaching

Faculty may apply for Special Academic Leave in accordance with SPS Special Academic Leave policies for CCF. The School encourages faculty professional development and provides a modest annual support fund as well as opportunities to compete for small grants for research and to support continued growth in teaching, instructional design and curriculum development, scholarly activity, leadership, administration, and organizational development.

Summer (and January term) teaching appointments for faculty on nine-month contracts are optional and are made, subject to curricular needs and the faculty member’s desire to teach, for additional compensation provided that the faculty member has met their teaching load as agreed.11

V. Reappointment of SPS CCF

Faculty peer reviews form an essential component of professional development and are intended to enable faculty to gain feedback, enhance their skills, and find new ways to contribute to the mission of the School. They also support the highest quality of teaching and performance. The process for review of full-time multi-year contracts shall include a peer review committee, which is advisory to the head of the academic unit in question and the SPS Dean.12

A. Terms for Reappointment

Term eligibility: Language Lecturer are eligible for reappointment contracts (initial and subsequent) of two years. Senior Language Lecturers and Assistant Professors (Clinical) are eligible in their first reappointment for a contract of two years. After the completion of two two-year contracts, Senior Language Lecturers and Clinical Assistant Professors are eligible for reappointment contracts of three years. Associate Professors (Clinical) are eligible for reappointment contracts (initial and subsequent) of three years. Professors (Clinical) are eligible for reappointment contracts of five years. Shorter contracts may be used in situations where (a) there are questions or concerns about performance, (b) there is uncertainty about future needs and student demand for the program in which the clinical faculty member teaches, or (c) at the faculty member’s request. Regular committee reviews of all CCF on contracts of three or more years take place in the penultimate year of their contracts. Regular committee reviews of all CCF on contracts of two years take place in the second year of their contracts. All faculty are reviewed annually by their academic unit leader. CCF contracts can be renewed a limitless number of times.

B. Process for Reappointment

All reviews of CCF are conducted by academic unit-specific Reappointment Committees (RCs). It is the responsibility of each academic unit leader to ensure that a RC is formed, that membership is regularly reviewed, and that it meets the standards and follows the procedures set out below. Annually in September, the Office of Academic and Faculty Affairs (OAFA) must supply each

---

10 The policy may be found here: https://www.sps.nyu.edu/homepage/academics/office-of-academic-and-faculty-affairs/policies-and-documents/special-academic-leave.html

11 CCF on nine-month contracts who have not met their teaching load during the fall and/or spring semesters due to course cancellations will be given the option of teaching during the January and/or summer terms. CCF will only receive additional compensation for Summer or January teaching if they have met their regular semester teaching load.

academic unit leader and each academic unit-level RC with a list of faculty eligible to be considered for reappointment.

The academic unit-level Reappointment Committee must:
1. Contain an odd number of full-time faculty (ideally, and at a minimum, three), each serving for two years
2. Include at least one alternate member in the event one member must be recused.
3. Exclude lecturers and clinical assistant professors with fewer than four years of full-time teaching experience at SPS.
4. Exclude individual faculty members that are applying for reappointment or promotion during the two-year RC cycle in question

The Office of Academic and Faculty Affairs and the Nominations and Voting Committee of the Faculty Council will work with academic unit leaders to hold elections and form Reappointment Committees each year. Composition of committee and selection process must be on file with OAFA and updated annually. Members shall serve on the RC for two academic years and may not serve more than two consecutive terms.

Where the academic unit is too small to generate a committee that meets requirements 1 through 4 above, academic units may identify a cognate academic unit with which to form a joint RC.

At least one member of the RC should be of equivalent or higher rank than any given candidate for reappointment. In cases where a clinical professor is reviewed for reappointment and there is no CCF of equivalent rank in the unit, the academic unit leader will make a request to OAFA to identify a clinical professor from another unit for the specific review. OAFA will maintain a list of all clinical professors and will randomly select an individual to serve on the RC. In such cases, a lower ranking member will not review this one case. The candidate in question must be informed of this in advance.

The RC in each academic unit chooses its own chair who serves as chair for two years and may not serve as chair more than two consecutive two-year terms.

A simple majority vote of the RC shall be required for a recommendation for reappointment or non-reappointment. All votes shall be by secret ballot. The RC’s report should represent a collective judgment of the committee or, in the case of a divided opinion, the majority of the committee. The review may be written by one or more members of the Reappointment Committee, but all members of the committee should read the review before it is submitted to the Dean. The review should represent a collective judgment of the committee or, in the case of a divided opinion, a majority of the committee. If there is a division of opinion, the minority opinion should be appended to the majority review.

The RC report includes the outcome of the vote and a brief statement of the basic arguments for or against reappointment. The report will be provided to the leader of the relevant academic unit, according to the timetable in Section IV F.

---

13 In the event there are two lower ranking members, they will alternate reviewing cases such as these.
The RC is advisory to the leader of the academic unit. The academic unit leader must state in writing his, her, or their reasons for accepting or rejecting the RC’s recommendation to reappoint or not reappoint. During the annual FAR meeting, the academic unit leader will discuss the contents of the RC's evaluation and recommendations, and will provide a written summary that includes suggestions for professional development and a recommendation regarding appointment. The FAR meeting should include a discussion of the candidate's own assessment and continuing programmatic need for the appointment.

The academic unit leader must forward the full record and recommendation of the Reappointment Committee, including the report of the RC and closed vote, as well as his, her or their own recommendation to the school Dean along with the committee's recommendation and any comments from the RC. The recommendations of the RC and the academic unit leader are all advisory to the Dean. The Dean will make a decision on the reappointment case and notify the academic unit leader and the candidate in writing of the Dean’s final decision, including a written rationale for the length of the new appointment if it is less than that for which the faculty member is eligible. At their discretion, the SPS Dean may request additional information from the RC or academic unit leader. If the decision is to reappoint, the summary letter to the faculty member with notification of intent to reappoint should include the length of reappointment and a signature block for the faculty member. CCF on contracts of three or more years must be notified by August 31st or one year prior to the conclusion of the contract. However, all efforts will be made to notify faculty by May 31st, fifteen months prior to the conclusion of the contract. Faculty with two-year contracts must be notified by March 1st or 180 days prior to the conclusion of the contract. In the event of a decision for non-renewal, the SPS Dean will notify the faculty member in writing that the contract will be terminated at the conclusion of the contract.

C. Materials for Reappointment

The reappointment process consists of a thorough review of a portfolio of evidence of accomplishment in teaching, service, and professional activity submitted by the faculty member in question. This portfolio must include:

1. Current CV
2. Annual Faculty Activity Reports (with attachments, faculty evaluation summary, and any faculty response) for the current contract term and up to one year preceding the start of that term (see Section IV. F.)
3. Additional syllabi, sample assignments, student course evaluations, peer evaluations, observation reports, statement of teaching philosophy, etc. that are available but not included in recent faculty activity reports.
4. Personal statement

The portfolio may also include, if relevant, and at the discretion of the faculty member:

5. A professional file indicating publications, creative production, industry-relevant work, etc.
6. If applicable, indicators of accomplishment and contribution such as peer reviews of publications, citations and other ratings, recognition in conventional and social media, etc.
Due to variations in the nature of CCF contributions across the School, more specific evidence of excellence and achievement will differ by academic unit. Units are encouraged to develop their own written examples of activities relevant to the review process. These examples should be shared with and maintained by the OAFA to ensure high and comparable standards are upheld throughout the School.

D. Criteria for Reappointment

The Reappointment Committee shall consider evidence of teaching excellence, performance in areas of SPS and University service, and a faculty member’s professional activities in the context of teaching expertise and/or professional profile. In assessing these areas, the Reappointment Committee shall consider the candidate’s overall contributions to the mission of SPS.

In assessing teaching, the committee shall consider a broad range of evidence and activities. These include, but are not limited to, statement of teaching philosophy; course and/or curriculum development in SPS and/or in other parts of the university; innovations in pedagogy; participation in teaching workshops and consultations within NYU or elsewhere; data from student evaluations; peer observations; evidence of student advising and mentoring; and evidence of faculty mentoring.

In assessing service and/or administration to the program or the University, the committee shall consider a range of activities. These include, but are not limited to, service on committees; participation in SPS student affairs such as advising student activities; planning and offering events or programs; participating in student recruitment, orientation, retention, or alumni activities; and providing outreach to the community at large as a representative of SPS. For faculty whose responsibilities are primarily administrative, greater weight will be given to accomplishments in this area.

The committee shall assess accomplishments in a candidate’s field of practice and/or other relevant scholarly, creative and professional activities with a focus on the candidate’s professional profile, enhancements to a particular field or industry, and contributions to the overall mission of SPS. In assessing scholarly, creative and professional accomplishments, the committee shall consider a range of materials, including but not limited to: published books; articles; conference/workshop events and presentations; and creative exhibits, projects, productions, and products in any format.

The committee’s written review shall indicate the strengths and/or weaknesses of the faculty member under consideration in relation to SPS criteria.

Even in those cases in which a candidate satisfies the appropriate standards of achievement, the decision to reappoint may be impacted by curricular and structural changes and improvements in academic programs. When appropriate, the Procedures for Termination or Reorganization of Academic Programs as found in the Faculty Handbook should be followed as well as any school policies and by-laws. The review in such cases would focus, in addition to the assessment of quality and excellence described below, on whether the faculty member could teach in the revised curriculum and/or new academic structure. If non-reappointment takes place due to curricular or structural changes then that reason must be stated clearly in the written performance review of the faculty member. Efforts should be made to redeploy the faculty member in another area in which the faculty member has expertise.

---

14 The Faculty Handbook, Faculty Policies Applicable to Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty, Appointment, Reappointment, Promotion, and Performance Assessment are available at: https://www.nyu.edu/faculty/governance-policies-and-procedures/faculty-handbook/the-faculty/faculty-policies-applicable-to-full-time-continuing-contract-faculty/appointment--reappointment--promotion-and-performance-assessment.html
E. **Timeline for Reappointment**

Review for reappointment occurs in the penultimate year of the contract for CCF on contracts of three or more years and shall be completed by the end of that penultimate year.

In the semester prior to the review, OAFA notifies the faculty member that they are scheduled for their committee review via letter with a copy going to the leader of the academic unit. In the event of a decision not to reappoint, the CCF member shall be notified of the intention not to reappoint no later than August 31st of the penultimate year (but preferably by May 1st) for those faculty on a contract of three or more years that commenced on September 1st. Any CCF member whose multi-year appointment is due to terminate on a date other than August 31st must be notified of the intention not to be reappointed no later than twelve months prior to the termination date.

In the case of a faculty member on a one-year or two-year contract the faculty member must be notified of the intention not to reappoint at least 180 days before termination of the contract, but for those on two-year contracts preferably one year prior to termination of the contract. Review date may need to be adjusted according to appointment dates. (These timetables represent guidelines and faculty may not grieve a failure to meet a School deadline set forth here.)

**The Reappointment Process Timetable:**
The guideline timetable for review of a faculty member with a multi-year appointment of three or more years that terminates on August 31 is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OAFA notifies faculty members who are scheduled for a penultimate year review</td>
<td>By November 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty submit their materials to the leader of the relevant academic unit and the Reappointment Committee</td>
<td>By February 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Reappointment Committee sends its written recommendation to the academic unit leader</td>
<td>By March 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The academic unit leader submits recommendation to the SPS Dean.</td>
<td>By April 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The SPS Dean provides written notification to the faculty member and the academic unit leader of the Dean’s final decision</td>
<td>By August 31 (preferred date May 31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The guideline timetable for review of a faculty member with an appointment of two years that terminates on August 31 is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OAFA notifies faculty who are scheduled for a review</td>
<td>By September 1 of the second year of the contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty submit their materials to the relevant academic unit leader and the relevant Reappointment Committee</td>
<td>By November 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Reappointment Committee sends its written recommendation to the academic unit leader</td>
<td>By December 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The academic unit leader submits a written recommendation to the SPS Dean.</td>
<td>By January 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The SPS Dean provides written notification to the faculty member and the academic unit leader of the Dean’s final decision.</td>
<td>By March 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12 – 9.1.20
VI. Promotion of SPS CCF
Promotion recognizes achievements in teaching excellence, contributions in service and administration in SPS and/or the University, and accomplishments in professional activities within a candidate’s disciplinary areas and/or fields of practice. The SPS Rank, Title, and Privilege (RTP) Committee reviews all candidates seeking promotion and considers the overall impact of the candidate’s accomplishments and contributions in furthering the mission of SPS.

A. Process for Promotion
All proposed promotions of CCF are conducted by the RTP Committee. Candidates seeking promotion submit a complete portfolio to their academic unit leader as outlined in section V. C. The academic unit leader reviews the portfolio and writes a report detailing their assessment of the promotion request. The portfolio and the academic unit leader’s assessment are then shared with the School’s RTP Committee.

The committee’s written review should indicate the strengths and weaknesses of the faculty member under consideration in relation to School criteria, and will recommend that the candidate be promoted or not promoted. A majority vote will be required to generate a recommendation. The review may be written by one or more member of the RTP Committee, but all members of the committee should read the review before it is submitted to the Dean. The review should represent a collective judgment of the committee or, in the case of a divided opinion, a majority of the committee. If there is a division of opinion, the minority opinion should be appended to the majority review.

The RTP Committee will vote on each case by closed ballot. The committee must forward the review packet, their decision to recommend promotion or not, as well as the report detailing the recommendation, to the leader of the academic unit and the SPS Dean. At the faculty member’s request, the academic unit leader will discuss the contents of the RTP committee's evaluation and recommendations. This discussion should include the candidate's own assessment and professional development goals. The SPS Dean will review all material and recommendations. At their discretion, the SPS Dean may request additional information from the RTP Committee and/or the academic unit leader. The SPS Dean will make a final decision and will communicate the decision to the faculty member with a copy to the academic unit leader. If the decision is to promote, the letter to the faculty member with notification of promotion should include the length of eligibility for the faculty member’s next reappointment contract, and a signature block for the faculty member.

The schedule of deadlines for promotions provided in Section VI. D. should be followed so that the Dean’s decision reaches the faculty member by May 21st. Following this deadline, the faculty candidate may respond in writing.

B. Criteria for Promotion
While some variation between academic units is to be expected in demonstrating how faculty meet the criteria for promotion, any faculty member seeking to apply for promotion must satisfy the following basic criteria:

Promotion to Associate Professor (Clinical)
A clinical faculty member ordinarily should hold an advanced degree (terminal in their field or discipline), where applicable have a significant industry or academic track record, and should have...
spent at least six years at the rank of Assistant Professor (Clinical) to be eligible for promotion to Associate Professor (Clinical). Promotion requires evidence of excellence and effectiveness in teaching. Faculty seeking this rank should demonstrate significant contributions to curricular revision and development, service and administration, scholarly and/or artistic work, and/or professional industry-relevant fields. Any faculty member at the rank of Assistant Professor (Clinical) has the option to request review for promotion to Associate Professor (Clinical) earlier if the individual can demonstrate exceptional achievements.

**Promotion to Professor (Clinical)**

A clinical faculty member ordinarily should hold an advanced degree (terminal in their field or discipline), where applicable have a significant industry or academic track record, and should have spent at least six years at the rank of Associate Professor (Clinical) to be eligible for promotion to the rank of Professor (Clinical). Promotion requires evidence of exceptional performance in teaching, curricular revision and development, service and administration, industry-relevant or artistic production, professional leadership, and academic and/or applied research. Faculty seeking this rank should demonstrate that they hold and maintain national or international reputations in relevant areas. Any faculty member at the rank of Associate Professor (Clinical) may also request promotion to Professor (Clinical) earlier if the individual can demonstrate exceptional achievements.

**Promotion to Senior Language Lecturer**

A faculty member ordinarily should hold a relevant advanced degree and have spent at least six years at the rank of Language Lecturer to be eligible for promotion to Senior Language Lecturer. Promotion requires evidence of excellence and effectiveness in teaching, service and administration, and industry-relevant activity. Faculty at this rank shall have demonstrated strong contributions in curriculum revision or development, service and/or administrative work, and, where relevant, publications or other forms of scholarly, creative, and professional outputs in their disciplines and/or areas of practice. Any faculty member at the rank of Language Lecturer has the option to request review for promotion to Senior Language Lecturer earlier if the individual can demonstrate exceptional achievements.

At all ranks above, for faculty whose responsibilities are primarily administrative, greater weight will be given to performance in this area in promotion reviews.

**C. Materials for Promotion**

Candidates for promotion may apply according to the deadlines for application published by OAFA as long as they have met the criteria of mentioned in Sections V. A and V. B. Candidates will submit a portfolio to the leader of their academic unit. The portfolio must include:

1. Current CV
2. Annual Faculty Activity Reports (with attachments, faculty evaluation summary, and any faculty response) available for the current contract term, and up to five years prior to applying for promotion
3. Evidence of teaching excellence including additional syllabi, sample assignments, student course evaluations, peer evaluations, observation reports, etc.
4. A personal statement in support of promotion, including a brief essay describing and reflecting upon their contributions to their division, to SPS, and to the wider University, including teaching, student advisement, service, and/or scholarly or creative work
5. Three professional letters of reference, of which one must be external to SPS (the letters of reference are solicited by the candidate and uploaded into Interfolio; OAFA then includes them in the portfolio)
6. All previous review and promotion committee recommendations; all previous academic unit leader recommendations

The portfolio may also include, if relevant, and at the discretion of the faculty member:

1. Documentation of all scholarly and/or creative work and/or industry-specific practice, including publications, creative output, or commissioned work.
2. Indicators of accomplishment and contribution such as peer reviews for publications, citations and other ratings, industry or policy-relevant projects, recognition in conventional and social media, etc.

D. Timeline for Promotion
An annual schedule of deadlines for promotion review is published online by OAFA and a notice is sent to all faculty. (These timetables represent guidelines and faculty may not grieve a failure to meet a School deadline set forth here.)

The Promotion Process Timetable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faculty member notifies the academic unit leader and OAFA of intent to apply for promotion</td>
<td>By December 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The faculty member submits the portfolio to relevant academic unit leader for review.</td>
<td>By February 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The leader of the academic unit assesses candidate’s portfolio, and submits their review and candidate’s portfolio to the RTP Committee</td>
<td>By March 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The RTP committee makes a written recommendation to promote or not to promote to the SPS Dean</td>
<td>By April 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The SPS Dean considers all material, including the academic unit leader’s assessment, makes a decision, and communicates it to the academic unit leader.</td>
<td>By May 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The SPS Dean communicates the final decision to the faculty member in writing, with copy to academic unit leader, and provides the opportunity for the candidate to respond in writing.</td>
<td>By May 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. Grievance Procedure
In accordance with the SPS Grievance Policy, CCF faculty may appeal the decision of the SPS Dean (or their proxy) regarding reappointment or promotion.\(^1^5\)

A. INTRODUCTION

\(^1^5\) The policy may be found here: https://www.sps.nyu.edu/homepage/academics/office-of-academic-and-faculty-affairs/policies-and-documents/full-time-continuing-contract-faculty-grievance-policy.html
i. The purpose of this document is to establish the NYU School of Professional Studies (SPS) policy and procedures by means of which a Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty member can seek redress for her or his grievance(s).

This policy is responsive to the University’s commitment to academic excellence and its responsibility to provide students with access to an excellent education, and cognizant of its responsibility to faculty to afford them due process and a fair hearing of their complaints.

ii. This SPS policy aligns with and incorporates University policy set forth in the Faculty Handbook under Faculty Policies Applicable to Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Procedures\(^\text{16}\) and specifies the process for SPS.

iii. Grievances connected with reappointment and promotion are addressed in a manner that conforms to the general appointment procedures. The initial protection for the faculty member is documented in the New York University Faculty Handbook under Academic Freedom\(^\text{17}\), which cites Title I ‘Statement in Regard to Academic Freedom and Tenure’ Section II. The Case for Academic Freedom, and Section IV. Academic Freedom; and the NYUSPS Faculty Handbook under ‘Terms and Conditions of Appointment and Reappointment.’

iv. It is expected that most grievance cases, particularly those concerned with matters such as duties, salaries, perquisites, working conditions, and other matters will be settled within SPS.

v. SPS has established a Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee to hear grievance cases in order to advise the Dean. This grievance committee is elected by the Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty and shall be a standing committee of SPS. The Committee will include Clinical Associate Professors and/or Clinical Professors elected by the voting members of the faculty. The committee shall not include academic directors, associate deans, or any faculty member whose primary assignment is administrative within an academic unit or within the SPS Office of the Dean.

**B. APPLICABILITY**

i. A grievant must be a faculty member of New York University when he or she initiates the appellate grievance procedure, described below “Appeals from a Dean’s Decision on Appointment, Reappointment, or Promotion” (Section F).

---


\(^{17}\) The Faculty Handbook Faculty Policies Applicable to All or Most Members of The Faculty Including Tenured/Tenure Track Faculty, Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty, and Other Faculty, Academic Freedom are available at: [http://www.nyu.edu/faculty/governance-policies-and-procedures/faculty-handbook/the-faculty/other-faculty-policies/academic-freedom.html](http://www.nyu.edu/faculty/governance-policies-and-procedures/faculty-handbook/the-faculty/other-faculty-policies/academic-freedom.html).
ii. Faculty members covered by these grievance procedures are Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty as defined in the Faculty Handbook under Faculty Titles\textsuperscript{18} and who are covered by this \textit{Policy for the Appointment, Reappointment, Promotion, and Grievance Procedures for Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty at the School of Professional Studies}.

iii. Faculty members whose primary assignment is administrative are covered by this policy insofar as the grievance concerns their faculty appointment. These faculty members serve in an administrative capacity at the Dean’s discretion; in accordance with the Faculty Handbook under Term of Administrative Appointments\textsuperscript{19} and they are not entitled to grieve the decision of the School to terminate their administrative or staff appointment.

iv. This policy does not cover conflicts between faculty members, unless the faculty member against whom the grievance is lodged was in that case acting administratively. Resolution of questions concerning which capacity gave rise to the grievance shall be a jurisdictional matter for the SPS Dean.

v. A copy of the SPS grievance procedure should be made widely available and easily accessible to all Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty members.

C. CONFIDENTIALITY

Members of the Grievance Committee and participants in all proceedings of this policy are expected to maintain the confidentiality of the proceedings to the greatest extent possible, consistent with the Committee’s goal of conducting a thorough and complete review, and to the extent permitted by law. This expectation extends to any communications during the grievance process between the Committee and the SPS Dean.

D. GRIEVABLE MATTERS

i. Types of Faculty Grievances

Following the Faculty Handbook\textsuperscript{20}, grievances can be made regarding matters in two general areas:

1. Reappointment and promotion
2. Other matters, such as duties, salaries, perquisites, and working conditions


\textsuperscript{19} The Faculty Handbook Faculty Policies Applicable to All or Most Members of The Faculty Including Tenured/Tenure Track Faculty, Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty, and Other Faculty, Term of Administrative Appointments are available at: http://www.nyu.edu/faculty/governance-policies-and-procedures/faculty-handbook/the-faculty/other-faculty-policies/term-of-administrative-appointments.html.

\textsuperscript{20} The Faculty Handbook Faculty Policies Applicable to Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty are available at: http://www.nyu.edu/faculty/governance-policies-and-procedures/faculty-handbook/the-faculty/faculty-policies-applicable-to-full-time-continuing-contract-faculty.html.
ii. **Grievances relating to Reappointment and Promotion**

**Basis for Grievance**

With respect to grievances related to reappointment and promotion, outcomes of the review process can be grieved only to the extent that they involve violation of University-protected rights of faculty members. Thus, a grievant must allege that the procedures used to reach the decision were improper, or that the case was not given adequate consideration; or that the decision(s) violated the academic freedom of the faculty member in question, as documented in the Faculty Handbook, in which case the burden of proof falls to the grievant.

**Who Can Grieve:**

Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty on multi-year contracts of three years or more who are subject to a review process to determine whether they are to be reappointed, have a right to grieve the process in the event it leads to a negative decision with respect to reappointment or promotion or the terms of reappointment or promotion. He, she or they is entitled to grieve in that he, she or they is denied reappointment without review for reasons other than elimination of the position.

Faculty on continuous one-year or two-year appointments are similarly entitled to grieve the process in the event the third-year review process leads to a negative decision. They are entitled to grieve the process in the event they are not reappointed after a third-year review when a review had been explicitly promised in connection with the possibility of reappointment subject to it, but was not undertaken for reasons other than elimination of the position.

Continuing Contract Faculty who are subject to a review process to determine whether they are to be promoted have a right to grieve the process in the event it leads to a negative decision.

**What Cannot Be Grieved:**

Grievances cannot be made when SPS does not undertake a reappointment process for a position because the position will be eliminated at the end of a contract, and no similar position is open. Such cases will not be the basis for a grievance.

i. Allegations that discrimination on the basis of race, gender and/or gender identity or expression, color, creed, religion, age, national origin, ethnicity, disability, unemployment status, veteran or military status, sex, sexual orientation, marital or parental status, citizenship status, or any other legally protected basis, affected decisions on reappointment and promotion should be brought to the attention of NYU’s Office of Equal Opportunity.

ii. Issues related to duties, salaries, perquisites, and working conditions

---

21 The Faculty Handbook Faculty Policies Applicable to All or Most Members of The Faculty Including Tenured/Tenure Track Faculty, Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty, and Other Faculty, Academic Freedom are available at: http://www.nyu.edu/faculty/governance-policies-and-procedures/faculty-handbook/the-faculty/other-faculty-policies/academic-freedom.html.
All Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty, including faculty on one-year appointments, may grieve matters other than promotion and reappointment, such as duties, salaries, perquisites, and working conditions. Faculty members may not pursue a grievance for failure to meet the specific dates listed in the timeline in Section E as these dates are indicative. However, all parties (the candidate for reappointment, the RC, the academic unit leader, OAFA and the SPS Dean) should ensure that no part of the process deviates significantly (more than ten business days) from the suggested dates.

E. PROCESS AND PROCEDURE: GENERAL OVERVIEW

i. In the case of all grievances, attempts shall be made to settle the dispute by informal discussions between the concerned parties. If a faculty member’s grievance is not settled informally at the level of the Associate Dean, Associate Dean of Academic and Faculty Affairs, or the SPS Dean, the faculty member may appeal to the SPS Dean to convocate the SPS Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee.

A grievant wishing to bring a matter before the SPS Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Committee must submit a Notice of Grievance to the Dean’s Office. The Notice of Grievance shall contain a concise statement of the substance of the complaint, and a summary of the faculty information in support of the complaint, together with documentation, if any.

The SPS Dean shall convene the SPS Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee within 15 working days of the receipt of the written request from the grievant. In any instance in which the Dean has not convened the School’s grievance committee within the mandated 15 working days, the faculty member has the right to bring it to the attention of the Office of the Provost. An exception to this may be made only with the consent of the grievant, the SPS Dean, and the Provost.

ii. The SPS Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee does not judge the professional merits of the case, but considers the grounds as specified above (Section 4.2.1).

iii. The SPS Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee shall proceed to assemble the relevant facts and may seek additional information or evidence pertinent to the case from appropriate, informed, and relevant sources. The Grievance Advisory Committee shall assess the accuracy, credibility, and relevance of any information it collects. In soliciting information from any third party, rights of privacy and confidentiality shall be protected.

iv. After considering the evidence, the Committee should reach a conclusion as to what it deems are the relevant facts, set these forth in writing, and then make a recommendation to the Dean for specific action.

v. After obtaining the recommendation of the grievance committee, the Dean shall decide the case and in writing shall notify the concerned parties and the grievance committee of his
or her decision, together with reasons therefore, and information on the procedure for appeal.

vi. The parties may reach a mutually agreed upon resolution to the grievance at any time. If they reach such agreement after the Grievance Advisory Committee has begun its work, the parties shall notify in writing the Chair of the SPS Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee. The specific terms of the mutually agreed upon resolution need not be shared. The Chair of the Grievance Advisory Committee shall inform the committee of the resolution. The Grievance Advisory Committee shall then cease work upon receipt of such notification and shall have no obligation to enforce the parties’ mutually agreed resolution.

F. APPEALS

Appeals from a Dean’s Decision on Appointment, Reappointment, or Promotion

i. Appeals from such decisions can be made only on the following grounds:

• That the procedures used to reach the decision were improper, or that the case received inadequate consideration;
• That the decision violated the academic freedom of the person in question, in which case the burden of proof is on that person.

ii. A faculty member intending to make such an appeal shall indicate such intention in writing to the Provost, specifying all grounds for and materials in support of the appeal within 15 days after receiving written notification of the Dean’s decision. An exception to this may be made only with the consent of the grievant, the Dean, and the Provost.

iii. Where such an appeal is made, the Dean shall transmit to the Provost a report of the proceedings in the case at its earlier stages. The Provost shall in each case obtain the advice of an advisory committee – the Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Committee – drawn from a standing committee that shall consist of the members of the Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Senators Council (C-FSC) Grievance Committee and the Tenured/Tenure Track Faculty Senators Council (T-FSC) Grievance Committee; in each case committee members shall be selected by the relevant faculty senators council but need not necessarily be members of the particular council. The Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee shall consist of three members, none of whom are from the grievant’s school: one from the C-FSC standing committee, one from the T-FSC standing committee, and one senior administrator selected by the Steering Committee of the C-FSC.

iv. The Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee shall hold a hearing and shall complete its deliberations and notify the Provost of its recommendations preferably within 30 days of the close of the hearing, but in any case, within sixty days. The Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee shall at all times follow the requisites of fair and equitable hearing, but it is not to be restricted by the technical rules of evidence or the formality of the adversary proceeding as in a court trial. In each case, the Committee shall determine its own procedure, adapting the requirements of the
particular case to the equity of the situation. This shall include, for example, the question of a record of the hearing, the examination of witnesses, the schedule and public nature of meetings, etc. The grievant, however, may determine whether he, she or they shall have the aid of an advisor or counsel.

v. The Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee shall not judge professional merits, but only ascertain whether procedural safeguards have been observed. Evidence that a decision appealed is so arbitrary that it has no rational foundation may be considered on the issue of “inadequate consideration.”

vi. After receiving the advice of the Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee, the Provost shall decide the case and notify the grievant, the Dean and the Chairperson of the Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee. If the advice of the latter is not followed, the reasons shall be reported with the decision. The Provost’s decision is final and subject to no further review.

Appeals from a Dean’s Decision on Other Matters Such as Duties, Salaries, Perquisites, and Working Conditions

i. Appeals from such decisions can be made only on the following grounds:
   • That the procedures used to reach the decision were improper, or that the case received inadequate consideration;
   • That the decision violated the academic freedom of the person in question, in which case the burden of proof is on that person.

ii. Where such an appeal is desired by a Continuing Contract faculty member, the faculty member must inform the Provost in writing within 15 days after he or she is notified of the Dean’s decision. The Provost shall then make informal procedures available.

G. GRIEVANCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMPOSITION

In order to adjudicate formal faculty grievances there shall be established a Full-Time Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee to the SPS Dean in the following manner:

i. The Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee will consist of full-time faculty from across the SPS, with no more than one (1) member from each academic unit.

ii. Members of the Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee shall be elected by the faculty at-large for a two-year term.

iii. The Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee shall not include deans, department chairpersons or academic directors, or any faculty member whose primary assignment is administrative in an academic unit or in the SPS Office of the Dean and who are not covered by this policy.
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iv. The Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee shall elect a Chairperson at the beginning of each academic year.

v. A member of the SPS Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee may not take part in a review when the grievant is from her or his academic unit.

vi. Any member of the SPS Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee who has a conflict of interest regarding a particular faculty grievance shall recuse himself, herself, or themselves from serving on that grievance review. Any member of the grievance committee who has a conflict of interest regarding a particular faculty grievance shall inform the Chairperson of the issue as immediately as awareness permits and a determination should be made accordingly.

The SPS Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee shall consist of three standing members and two alternates of and elected by the SPS Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty, as documented in the SPS Faculty Council Charter and who are covered by this policy.

The Faculty Handbook provides that Tenured/Tenure-Track Faculty are to serve on the committee for CCF grievances; however, as the SPS Faculty is primarily Continuing Contract Faculty, it is not always possible to include Tenured/Tenure-Track Faculty. When possible, a Tenured/Tenure-Track Faculty member from SPS, if such person exists on the faculty and is elected by the voting members of the faculty to serve on the committee, shall also serve on the Full-Time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Advisory Committee.

All elected committee members will serve two-year terms (standing and alternate). To ensure continuity and overlap, a one-time exception will be made to initiate the committee. The first committee will have one standing and one alternate member who will serve a three-year term each. Annual elections will be conducted thereafter to elect new members. The sequence will be to elect two then three new members in alternate years (see Appendix 1).

**VIII. Amendments**

The SPS Dean, or SPS Faculty Council, or SPS CCF may suggest changes or amendments to this policy as outlined in the Faculty Council Charter. Any proposed changes are subject to a vote by the SPS CCF and review and approval by the SPS Dean and NYU Provost.
### APPENDIX 1

#### NYUSPS Full-time Continuing Contract Faculty Grievance Committee Terms of Office

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Standing</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yr1</td>
<td>1st Election</td>
<td>1st Election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr2</td>
<td>3rd Election</td>
<td>3rd Election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr3</td>
<td>5th Election</td>
<td>5th Election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr4</td>
<td>7th Election</td>
<td>7th Election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr5</td>
<td>9th Election</td>
<td>9th Election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr6</td>
<td>2nd Election</td>
<td>2nd Election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr7</td>
<td>4th Election</td>
<td>4th Election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr8</td>
<td>6th Election</td>
<td>6th Election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr9</td>
<td>8th Election</td>
<td>8th Election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr10</td>
<td>6th Election</td>
<td>6th Election</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Elect 3  
3) Elect 2  
4) Elect 3  
5) Elect 3  
6) Elect 3  
7) Elect 2  
8) Elect 3  
9) Elect 2